UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/567,339	11/30/2006	Yoshitaka Hara	285598US2PCT	5325
22850 7590 05/12/2010 OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT, L.L.P. 1940 DUKE STREET ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			EXAMINER	
			PATEL, NIMESH	
ALEAANDRIA	A, VA 22314		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		2617		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/12/2010	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com oblonpat@oblon.com jgardner@oblon.com

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/567,339	HARA ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		NIMESH PATEL	2617			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apor Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address			
A SH WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPERIOD FOR REPERIOR IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statutely preceived by the Office later than three months after the mailed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tired will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
_	Posnopsivo to communication(s) filed on 16	April 2010				
· ·	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>16 April 2010</u> . This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
′=	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
<i>ا</i> ل	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	on of Claims					
 4) ☐ Claim(s) 21-24 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 21-24 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 						
Applicati	on Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ ac	ccepted or b) objected to by the	Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to th	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary				
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments filed on Apr. 16, 2010 with respect to claims 21 - 24 have been considered but they are not persuasive.

The Applicant's argument, "Trikkonen does not disclose of reasonably suggest determining weights based on received control information. In particular, it is respectfully submitted Trikkonen does not disclose or reasonably suggest, determining, based on a received control information, a first weight corresponding to a plurality of antennas for one of a plurality of information signals modulated by a first modulation scheme and encoded by a first encoding method, and a second weight corresponding to a plurality of antennas for another one of the plurality of information signals modulated by a second modulation scheme and encoded by a second modulation scheme and encoded by a second encoding method", on page 9, lines 7 – 15.

The Examiner's response, "Trikkonen discloses, transmitter is controlled in dependence on at least one parameter of the transmitter, at least one parameter of receiver and at least one parameter of a wireless environment between transmitter and receiver – ABSTRACT, paragraphs 0012 - 0023, 322, 140, and 309, at the same time paragraph 108 mentions the use of different modulations; paragraph 118, 256, 248, 252. The matrix W provides weights to the different beams which take into account for example the condition of the channel. The

Art Unit: 2617

state of the channel can be derived from the open and/or closed loop measurements. This function is provided by the associated matrix generating and applying circuitry – paragraph 0322".

The Applicant's argument, "Trikkonen fails to disclose or reasonably suggest – the control information comprises weight information on the first and second weights and a transmission format information", on page 10, lines 3 – 13.

The Examiner's response, "Trikkonen discloses, transmitter is controlled in dependence on at least one parameter of the transmitter, at least one parameter of receiver and at least one parameter of a wireless environment between transmitter and receiver – ABSTRACT, paragraphs 0012 - 0023, 322, 140, and 309, at the same time paragraph 108 mentions the use of different modulations; paragraph 118, 256, 248, 252. The matrix W provides weights to the different beams which take into account for example the condition of the channel. The state of the channel can be derived from the open and/or closed loop measurements. This function is provided by the associated matrix generating and applying circuitry – paragraph 0322".

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and

Art Unit: 2617

the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* **v.** *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 21 – 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Trikkonen US PGPub: US 2004/0002364 A1 Jan. 1, 2004, and in view of Walton US PGPub: US 2003/0125040 A1 Jul. 3, 2003.

Regarding claim 21, Trikkonen discloses,

Art Unit: 2617

a radio communication method <u>by</u> a radio communication system (fig. 2) in which output signals are generated from a plurality of information signals and then transmitted to a system of communication partner from a plurality of antennas (fig. 2, item 105), <u>the method</u> comprising

receiving control information transmitted by the system of the communication partner (paragraph 91, 304, 307, fig. 4a and 4b; the loop transmissions contain control information);

determining, based on the received control information, a first weight corresponding to the plurality of antennas for one of the plurality of information signals modulated by a first modulation scheme and encoded by a first encoding method, and a second weight corresponding to the plurality of antennas for another one of the plurality of information signals modulated by a second modulation scheme and encoded by a second encoding method (paragraphs 322, 140, and 309, at the same time paragraph 108 mentions the use of different modulations; paragraph 118, 256, 248, 252);

generating a first operation result by multiplying the one of the plurality of information signals by the first weight, and generating a second operation result by multiplying the another one of the plurality of information signals by the second weight (paragraphs 322, 140, and 136); and

Art Unit: 2617

generating, based on the first operation result and the second operation result, a plurality of the output signals each corresponding to one of the plurality of antennas, and transmitting the plurality of the output signals to the system of the communication partner (paragraphs 126 and 17),

wherein the control information comprises a <u>weight information</u> on the first and second <u>weights</u> and a transmission format information, on modulation scheme and encoding method, corresponding to the <u>weight information</u> (paragraphs 140,136, 322, 140, and 309 and 322, at the same time paragraph 108 mentions the use of different modulations; paragraph 118, 256, 248, 252), the modulation scheme and encoding method corresponding to the transmission format information being determined based on the signal quality (paragraphs 87 – 90, 95, 96, 184, 193, 204, 290, 313) calculated on the assumption that the output signals of the plurality of antennas are generated utilizing the weights corresponding to the weight information (paragraphs 4, 5, 129, 134, 136, 149),

but, does not clearly teach, the information is "the output signals are transmitted simultaneously".

Walton teaches, multiple-access multiple-input multiple-output MIMO communication system, and simultaneous transmission. The data streams may be simultaneously transmitted by the base station from transmit antennas and targeted to one or more terminals, each equipped with receive antennas (Figs. 1

Application/Control Number: 10/567,339

Art Unit: 2617

- 11C, paragraphs 4, 10 – 15, 72, 81, 82, 242, 338, 353, 385, 462, 467, 509).

Page 7

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of invention, to modify the transmitting and receiving method of Trikkonen (Trikkonen, Fig. 2), wherein, the base transceiver station 2 of Trikkonen, would have incorporated the simultaneously transmission by the base station of Walton (Walton (Figs. 1 – 11C, paragraphs 4, 10 – 15, 72, 81, 82, 242, 338, 353, 385, 462, 467, 509), for the data rate can be increased by transmitting independent information streams form different antennas but using he same channel as defined by frequency, time slot and/or spreading code (Trikkonen, paragraphs 4, 5, 6 and Walton, paragraphs 4, 72, 467).

Regarding claim 22, Trikkonen discloses,

the radio communication method according to claim 21, wherein the control information further comprises information to select the first and second <u>weights</u> from <u>including</u> a number of weight candidates <u>greater</u> than <u>a number of</u> the antennas (the practical rank number PRN thresholds can be selected by an operator on a cell by cell basis and based on either forecasted information or measured interference levels or traffic statistics. Within a cell, different users may have different thresholds, based on e.g. the type of subscription, and the equipment the user makes the communications link with - paragraphs 89, 91,

Art Unit: 2617

122, 304, 307, fig. 4a and 4b; the loop transmissions contain control information).

Regarding claim 23, Trikkonen discloses,

a radio communication system (fig. 2) in which output signals are generated from a plurality of information signals and then transmitted to a system of communication partners from a plurality of antennas (fig. 2, item 105), comprising:

a reception device for receiving control information transmitted by the system of the communication partner (paragraph 91, 304, 307, fig. 4a and 4b; the loop transmissions contain control information);

a weight determining device to determine, based on the received control information, a first weight corresponding to the plurality of antennas for one of the plurality of information signals modulated by a first modulation scheme and encoded by a first encoding method, and a second weight corresponding to the plurality of antennas for another one of the plurality of information signals modulated by a second modulation scheme and encoded by a second encoding method (paragraphs 322, 140, and 309, at the same time paragraph 108 mentions the use of different modulations; paragraph 118, 256, 248, 252);

Art Unit: 2617

an operation device <u>to generate</u> a first operation result by multiplying the one of the plurality of information signals by the first weight, and <u>to generate</u> a second operation result by multiplying the another one of the plurality of information signals by the second weight (paragraphs 322, 140, and 136); and

a transmission device to generate, based on the first operation result and the second operation result, a plurality of the output signals each corresponding to one of the plurality of antennas, and to transmit the plurality of the output signals to the system of the communication partner (paragraphs 126 and 17), wherein the control information comprises weight information on the first and second weights and a transmission format information, on modulation scheme and encoding method, corresponding to the weight information (paragraphs 140,136, 322, 140, and 309 and 322, at the same time paragraph 108 mentions the use of different modulations; paragraph 118, 256, 248, 252), the modulation scheme and encoding method corresponding to the transmission format information being determined based on the signal quality (paragraphs 87 – 90, 95, 96, 184, 193, 204, 290, 313) calculated on the assumption that the output signals of the plurality of antennas are generated utilizing the weights corresponding to the weight information (paragraphs 4, 5, 129, 134, 136, 149),

but, does not clearly teach, the information is "the output signals are transmitted simultaneously".

Art Unit: 2617

Walton teaches, multiple-access multiple-input multiple-output MIMO communication system, and simultaneous transmission. The data streams may be simultaneously transmitted by the base station from transmit antennas and targeted to one or more terminals, each equipped with receive antennas (Figs. 1 - 11C, paragraphs 4, 10 – 15, 72, 81, 82, 242, 338, 353, 385, 462, 467, 509).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of invention, to modify the transmitting and receiving method of Trikkonen (Trikkonen, Fig. 2), wherein, the base transceiver station 2 of Trikkonen, would have incorporated the simultaneously transmission by the base station of Walton (Walton (Figs. 1 – 11C, paragraphs 4, 10 – 15, 72, 81, 82, 242, 338, 353, 385, 462, 467, 509), for the data rate can be increased by transmitting independent information streams form different antennas but using he same channel as defined by frequency, time slot and/or spreading code (Trikkonen, paragraphs 4, 5, 6 and Walton, paragraphs 4, 72, 467).

Regarding claim 24, Trikkonen discloses,

the radio communication system according to claim 23, wherein the control information further comprises information to select the first and second <u>weights</u> from <u>including</u> a number of weight candidates <u>greater</u> than <u>a number of</u> the antennas (the practical rank number PRN thresholds can be selected by an

Art Unit: 2617

operator on a cell by cell basis and based on either forecasted information or measured interference levels or traffic statistics. Within a cell, different users may have different thresholds, based on e.g. the type of subscription, and the equipment the user makes the communications link with - paragraphs 89, 91, 122, 304, 307, fig. 4a and 4b; the loop transmissions contain control information).

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a). A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication from the examiner should be directed to Nimesh Patel at (571) 270-1228, normally reached on M-F, 7:30 AM to 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rafael, Perez-Gutierrez, can be reached at (571) 272-7915.

Art Unit: 2617

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR of Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Nimesh Patel/

/Rafael Pérez-Gutiérrez/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2617